- STEVEN J. IGNATZ
- 2 of investigation before.
- 3 Q. Okay. So would it be fair to say
- 4 that you were weren't really needed to go,
- 5 you were just going to learn?
- 6 A. That's fair.
- 7 O. Okay. And how long had Fultz
- 8 been with the CLEAN system?
- 9 A. He was there before I had gotten
- 10 there, sir. I don't know how long. He's
- 11 still there. So I'm not sure.
- 12 Q. Okay. And did he tell you before
- 13 the conversation in the car how he had gotten
- 14 involved in this investigation?
- 15 A. I don't think so. I think it was
- 16 just something that was reported and he was
- 17 following up with it.
- 18 Q. Okay. Did he show you any
- 19 documentation during this conversation do you
- 20 want to go?
- 21 A. No. I don't recall seeing any
- 22 documentation.
- Q. Okay. Now, I want you to try and
- 24 recall what was said by him as best as you
- 25 can when he asked you to go. I know we've

1 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

- 2 been kind of paraphrasing. And if all that
- 3 you've paraphrased so far is all you can
- 4 recall then I'll move passed this.
- 5 But can you tell me
- 6 exactly how he approached you, where and what
- 7 he said?
- 8 A. I believe his office was right
- 9 outside of my office across a partition and
- 10 in the mornings we generally spoke over, you
- 11 know, he'd have a coffee or whatever and I
- 12 would ask what's on the agenda for the day,
- 13 which I did with the entire unit.
- 14 And I believe he just
- 15 said, I have to run down to Philadelphia
- 16 today. Would you be interested in going
- 17 along? Riding along?
- 18 And I just thought why
- 19 not. I'm new here. See what's going on.
- 20 You know, it sounded like it was something
- 21 that, you know, I should know about. So I
- 22 wanted to ride along.
- Q. Okay. In your years as a law
- 24 enforcement officer since '82 had you come to
- 25 have the knowledge that people sometimes

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-16 Filed 10/01/10 Page 3 of 14

- 1 STEVEN J. IGNATZ
- 2 don't provide others with all the information
- 3 about the reason they're doing something?
- In other words, in this
- 5 case, for example, Fultz didn't tell you all
- 6 the information to tell you he was helping
- 7 Tripp.
- 8 MR. HENZES: Who was
- 9 helping Tripp?
- 10 MR. PURICELLI: Fultz.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I didn't get
- 12 that impression at all.
- 13 BY MR. PURICELLI:
- 14 Q. I know. My question --
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. -- is have you come to learn in
- 17 all your years that people hold information
- 18 for a variety of reasons?
- 19 One, for example, would be
- 20 helping a friend or a coworker.
- 21 A. It does happen.
- 22 Q. Okay. And is there a reason that
- 23 you can tell me factually why that couldn't
- 24 have occurred here with Fultz not telling you
- 25 he received the phone call from Sergeant

STEVEN J. IGNATZ

- 2 Tripp at Troop F about a request to go down
- 3 and check out Christopher Bush at the Newtown
- 4 Police Department?
- 5 A. No. I can't say whether that
- 6 occurred or not.
- 7 Q. Okay. I take it you didn't read
- 8 the entire transcript of testimony at the
- 9 arbitration?
- 10 A. Of?
- 11 Q. The arbitration.
- 12 A. The entire testimony?
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 A. No, sir.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. I just saw mine.
- 17 Q. Just saw yours?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, when you were
- 20 traveling, obviously you then said that
- 21 Fultz -- I'll rephrase that. I think you
- 22 told me that Fultz then started telling you a
- 23 little bit about the events with the Bush
- 24 kids and the Bush complaint. Christopher
- 25 Bush's complaint.

1 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

2	Right?

- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. All right. So you at least knew
- 5 going into Newtown that Fultz had had
- 6 information that Christopher Bush, who you
- 7 were going to go down and look at his report,
- 8 had already made a BPR complaint against
- 9 Tripp.
- 10 Isn't that true?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. All right. Did you ask Fultz
- 13 what that was about?
- 14 A. I think he just told me,
- 15 basically gave me a little briefing about the
- 16 entire thing that it had come up from a BPR
- 17 complaint.
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, in your years with
- 19 the State Police when you know there's a BPR
- 20 investigation is it true that you know that
- 21 no member of the State Police other than the
- 22 assigned investigator are to do anything in
- 23 regards to that BPR investigation?
- A. Do anything?
- 25 Q. Yeah. Get involved. Unless

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-16 Filed 10/01/10 Page 6 of 14

STEVEN J. IGNATZ

- 2 they're assigned to that BPR investigation
- 3 they're not to get involved in that
- 4 investigation.
- 5 Is that true?
- 6 A. I think that's generally true,
- 7 but I'm just trying to think that through for
- 8 a second.
- 9 Q. Let me help you on that.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Okay. Because I'm not here to
- 12 confuse you. As you can see I'm fairly
- 13 familiar and Randy will tell you with State
- 14 Police policies.
- 15 State Police require an
- 16 investigation either delegated to the troop
- 17 or itself when there's a complaint.
- 18 Correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. And whether it's a limited
- 21 investigation or a thorough investigation
- 22 depends on discussions other than with
- 23 investigators.
- 24 Correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-16 Filed 10/01/10 Page 7 of 14

1	STEVEN	J.	TGNATZ

2	 \cap	\mathbb{Z}	right.	And	when	that
_	\cup .	HTT	TIGITC.	AIIU	MIICII	ulat

- 3 complaint comes in someone is specifically
- 4 assigned or someones to investigate the
- 5 allegation against the trooper.
- 6 Correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. All right. And isn't it common
- 9 knowledge based on the field regulations that
- 10 unless you're assigned as that investigator
- 11 you shouldn't be going out there asking
- 12 questions or doing anything that might
- 13 jeopardize or interfere with that BPR
- 14 investigation?
- 15 Would that be fair?
- 16 A. I think that's accurate.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, that's what I'm
- 18 getting at.
- 19 When Trooper Fultz told
- 20 you that there was a BPR investigation
- 21 involving the same department, the same
- 22 people and Tripp and where you were going,
- 23 didn't that send a red flag to you that maybe
- 24 you shouldn't be going down there?
- 25 A. No. It didn't.

18

19

20 MR. HENZES: I'm sure

21 Fultz would have liked it to be \$40 an

22 hour. I don't think it was.

23 MR. PURICELLI: Okay.

24 Well, if you want to tell me what it was

25 I'll use that number.

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-16 Filed 10/01/10 Page 9 of 14 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

2 MR. HENZES: No.

3 THE WITNESS: I don't

4 know.

5 BY MR. PURICELLI:

6 Q. Okay. Let's say it was \$30 an

7 hour.

8 Okay. You guys took how

9 long to go down there?

10 A. I think the ride down there was

11 probably around 90 minutes.

12 Q. And how long did you stay and

13 what time did you get back?

14 A. I think we were there for maybe

15 45 minutes from the time we got there until

16 they had us in, we did our thing and left. I

17 would say all in all we probably had five

18 hours tied up for the day.

19 Q. Okay. Portal to portal. Leaving

20 and coming back.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. So it was \$350 fair estimate of

23 the hourly cost of the Commonwealth for the

24 two of you to go down and come back.

25 Is that right?

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-16 Filed 10/01/10 Page 10 of 14

STEVEN J. IGNATZ

- 2 A. I think so.
- 3 Q. To look at a report.
- 4 Right?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And you went there and saw the
- 7 report.
- 8 Correct?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And you made a determination that
- 11 everything was honky dory. No violations.
- 12 Correct?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. So it cost the Commonwealth tax
- 15 payers a few hundred dollars to learn that
- 16 everything was just okay.
- 17 Right?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay. And when that was done who
- 20 did Fultz report that information to?
- 21 A. The report goes -- he documented
- 22 it. It came back to me. I signed off on it.
- 23 And that was the end of it; as far as I know.
- Q. Well, if you were requested to do
- 25 a report under the FR you're required to

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-16 Filed 10/01/10 Page 11 of 14

- 1 STEVEN J. IGNATZ
- 2 notify the complainant the investigation was
- 3 satisfied.
- 4 Isn't that true?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. All right.
- 7 A. It actually went back to Troop F,
- 8 I believe.
- 9 Q. Okay. Who sends it to Troop F?
- 10 A. I believe I contacted Lieutenant
- 11 Hile at Troop F and told him that there was
- 12 no CLEAN violation.
- 13 Q. Okay. And what did he say?
- 14 A. I don't recall.
- 15 Q. Oh, okay. Now, according to the
- 16 testimony and from the arbitration hearing
- 17 Sergeant Tripp is the complainant.
- 18 MR. HENZES: The
- 19 complainant?
- 20 BY MR. PURICELLI:
- 21 Q. The complainant, the requester of
- 22 this.
- 23 Did you know that?
- 24 A. I didn't know that.
- 25 Q. Okay. So under the FR then --

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-16 Filed 10/01/10 Page 12 of 14

STEVEN J. IGNATZ

2	MR.	HENZES:	Where	does	it

- 3 say in the testimony he's the
- 4 complainant?
- 5 MR. PURICELLI: He's the
- one that testified he made the request.
- 7 BY MR. PURICELLI:
- 8 Q. That makes him the complainant.
- 9 Doesn't it, Lieutenant?
- The person calling you
- 11 asking you to do something would be
- 12 classified as the complainant?
- 13 A. I would classify them as the
- 14 complainant. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And in your -- since '82
- 16 you've been taking reports, I assume.
- 17 Right?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And you've been trained in the
- 20 State Police's forms.
- 21 Correct?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Okay. And that form doesn't --
- 24 the initiations, either an incident report or
- 25 victim statements doesn't say requester. It

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-16 Filed 10/01/10 Page 13 of 14 1 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

- 2 says complainant.
- 3 Correct?
- 4 A. I'm not sure about the complaints
- 5 for CLEAN violation if it says complainant on
- 6 there or not.
- 7 Q. Okay. Did you at any time talk
- 8 with Captain Hill?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you know if Captain
- 11 Hill called to CLEAN in order to initiate the
- 12 investigation done by Fultz and yourself?
- 13 A. I don't know that. Like I said,
- 14 it came in before my tenure at CLEAN.
- Okay. Well, the investigation is
- 16 supposed to be thorough.
- 17 Correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, the Tripp called and
- 20 requested that CLEAN --
- 21 MR. HENZES: Read
- 22 paragraph 145 before you get into that
- question. Read page 145 because now
- 24 you're asking questions on inaccurate
- 25 information.

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 53-16 Filed 10/01/10 Page 14 of 14 STEVEN J. IGNATZ

2	MR. PURICELLI: I don't
3	believe that's the area I'm referring
4	to.
5	I am going to question
6	them about that area so we'll be able
7	to
8	MR. HENZES: You're saying
9	Tripp called them. He's saying, no.
10	Tripp never called him. Nor did Tripp
11	ever call CLEAN.
12	MR. PURICELLI: Okay.
13	We'll find out. I guess what we'll have
14	to do is look at that form and see who's
15	the complainant.
16	Won't we?
17	MR. HENZES: It says on
18	the form. Look at Fultz's report.
19	MR. PURICELLI: Okay.
20	MR. HENZES: I received
21	this investigation from Sean Sanders.
22	MR. PURICELLI: That's the
23	report. I'm looking for the
24	complaintant form. Not the report.
25	MR. HENZES: No. He told